# Discriminator Varieties of Double-Heyting Algebras

### **Christopher Taylor**

Supervised by Dr. Tomasz Kowalski and Emer. Prof. Brian Davey

Department of Mathematics and Statistics La Trobe University

8th ANZMC 2014



# Pseudocomplements

Let *L* be a bounded distributive lattice and let  $x \in L$ .

• The *pseudocomplement* of x, denoted  $x^*$ , is the largest element z such that  $z \wedge x = 0$ . Equivalently,

$$x \wedge z = 0 \iff z \leq x^*$$

 A Heyting algebra is a bounded distributive lattice with an additional operation →, known as the relative pseudocomplement, where → satisfies the following equivalence

$$X \land Z \leq Y \iff Z \leq X \to Y$$

• In a Heyting algebra, we can define  $x^* := x \to 0$ .



# Pseudocomplements

Let *L* be a bounded distributive lattice and let  $x \in L$ .

• The *pseudocomplement* of x, denoted  $x^*$ , is the largest element z such that  $z \wedge x = 0$ . Equivalently,

$$x \wedge z = 0 \iff z \leq x^*$$

 A Heyting algebra is a bounded distributive lattice with an additional operation →, known as the relative pseudocomplement, where → satisfies the following equivalence

$$x \land z \le y \iff z \le x \to y$$

• In a Heyting algebra, we can define  $x^* := x \to 0$ .



# Pseudocomplements

Let *L* be a bounded distributive lattice and let  $x \in L$ .

• The *pseudocomplement* of x, denoted  $x^*$ , is the largest element z such that  $z \wedge x = 0$ . Equivalently,

$$x \wedge z = 0 \iff z \leq x^*$$

 A Heyting algebra is a bounded distributive lattice with an additional operation →, known as the relative pseudocomplement, where → satisfies the following equivalence

$$X \land Z \leq Y \iff Z \leq X \rightarrow Y$$

• In a Heyting algebra, we can define  $x^* := x \to 0$ .



# **Heyting Algebras**

ullet Recall, the operation o satisfies the following equivalence

$$X \land Z \leq Y \iff Z \leq X \rightarrow Y$$

- Alternatively, a Heyting algebra is an algebra ⟨H, ∨, ∧, →, 0, 1⟩ where
  - $\bigcirc$   $\langle H, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a bounded distributive lattice
  - $2 x \rightarrow x \approx 1$
  - $\bigcirc$   $X \land (X \rightarrow Y) \approx X \land Y$
- Thus the class of Heyting algebras forms an equational class



# **Heyting Algebras**

Recall, the operation → satisfies the following equivalence

$$X \land Z \leq Y \iff Z \leq X \rightarrow Y$$

- Alternatively, a Heyting algebra is an algebra  $\langle H, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$  where
  - $\bigcirc$   $\langle H, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a bounded distributive lattice
  - $x \rightarrow x \approx 1$
- Thus the class of Heyting algebras forms an equational class



# **Heyting Algebras**

Recall, the operation → satisfies the following equivalence

$$X \land Z \leq Y \iff Z \leq X \rightarrow Y$$

- Alternatively, a Heyting algebra is an algebra  $\langle H, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$  where
  - $\bigcirc$   $\langle H, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a bounded distributive lattice
  - $x \rightarrow x \approx 1$
- Thus the class of Heyting algebras forms an equational class



# **Dual Heyting algebras**

 A dual Heyting Algebra is simply the dual of a Heyting algebra. The dual of → is written – and satisfies the following equivalence

$$x \lor z \ge y \iff z \ge y - x$$

• We also define the *dual pseudocomplement*,  $x^+$ , to be the smallest element z such that  $x \lor z = 1$ . Equivalently,

$$x \lor z = 1 \iff z \ge x^+$$

• In a dual Heyting algebra, we can define  $x^+ := 1 - x$ .



# **Dual Heyting algebras**

 A dual Heyting Algebra is simply the dual of a Heyting algebra. The dual of → is written — and satisfies the following equivalence

$$x \lor z \ge y \iff z \ge y - x$$

• We also define the *dual pseudocomplement*,  $x^+$ , to be the smallest element z such that  $x \lor z = 1$ . Equivalently,

$$x \lor z = 1 \iff z \ge x^+$$

• In a dual Heyting algebra, we can define  $x^+ := 1 - x$ .



# **Dual Heyting algebras**

 A dual Heyting Algebra is simply the dual of a Heyting algebra. The dual of → is written — and satisfies the following equivalence

$$x \lor z \ge y \iff z \ge y - x$$

• We also define the *dual pseudocomplement*,  $x^+$ , to be the smallest element z such that  $x \lor z = 1$ . Equivalently,

$$x \lor z = 1 \iff z \ge x^+$$

• In a dual Heyting algebra, we can define  $x^+ := 1 - x$ .



# Double-Heyting algebras

- An algebra  $\langle H, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a double-Heyting algebra if
  - $\langle H, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a Heyting algebra
  - $\langle H, \vee, \wedge, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a dual Heyting algebra

### The Discriminator Term

• An algebra A is called a *discriminator algebra* if it has a *discriminator term*, i.e. a term t(x, y, z) where

$$t(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq y \\ z & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Example: finite fields of order *p*, we have

$$t(x, y, z) = z + (x - z)(y - x)^{p-1}$$

A discriminator variety is an equational class where there
is a term t that is a discriminator term on every subdirectly
irreducible member of the class

### The Discriminator Term

• An algebra A is called a discriminator algebra if it has a discriminator term, i.e. a term t(x, y, z) where

$$t(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq y \\ z & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example: finite fields of order p, we have

$$t(x, y, z) = z + (x - z)(y - x)^{p-1}$$

A discriminator variety is an equational class where there
is a term t that is a discriminator term on every subdirectly
irreducible member of the class

### The Discriminator Term

 An algebra A is called a discriminator algebra if it has a discriminator term, i.e. a term t(x, y, z) where

$$t(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq y \\ z & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example: finite fields of order p, we have

$$t(x, y, z) = z + (x - z)(y - x)^{p-1}$$

A discriminator variety is an equational class where there
is a term t that is a discriminator term on every subdirectly
irreducible member of the class

### Let *H* be a double-Heyting algebra.

- Recall that the *pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^* := x \to 0$
- Dually, the *dual pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^+ := 1 x$
- We set  $x^{0(+*)} = x$ , then define  $x^{(n+1)(+*)} := (x^{n(+*)})^{+*}$

#### Lemma

$$\chi > \chi^{+*} > \chi^{+*+*} > \dots > \chi^{n(+*)} > \dots$$

### Let *H* be a double-Heyting algebra.

- Recall that the *pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^* := x \to 0$
- Dually, the *dual pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^+ := 1 x$
- We set  $x^{0(+*)} = x$ , then define  $x^{(n+1)(+*)} := (x^{n(+*)})^{+*}$

#### Lemma

$$\chi > \chi^{+*} > \chi^{+*+*} > \dots > \chi^{n(+*)} > \dots$$

Let *H* be a double-Heyting algebra.

- Recall that the *pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^* := x \to 0$
- Dually, the *dual pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^+ := 1 x$
- We set  $x^{0(+*)} = x$ , then define  $x^{(n+1)(+*)} := (x^{n(+*)})^{+*}$

#### Lemma

$$x \ge x^{+*} \ge x^{+*+*} \ge \dots \ge x^{n(+*)} \ge \dots$$

Let *H* be a double-Heyting algebra.

- Recall that the *pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^* := x \to 0$
- Dually, the *dual pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^+ := 1 x$
- We set  $x^{0(+*)} = x$ , then define  $x^{(n+1)(+*)} := (x^{n(+*)})^{+*}$

#### Lemma

$$x \ge x^{+*} \ge x^{+*+*} \ge \dots \ge x^{n(+*)} \ge \dots$$

Let *H* be a double-Heyting algebra.

- Recall that the *pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^* := x \to 0$
- Dually, the *dual pseudocomplement* of  $x \in H$  is given by  $x^+ := 1 x$
- We set  $x^{0(+*)} = x$ , then define  $x^{(n+1)(+*)} := (x^{n(+*)})^{+*}$

#### Lemma

$$x > x^{+*} > x^{+*+*} > \cdots > x^{n(+*)} > \cdots$$

- For a set  $F \subseteq H$  we say F is a filter if
  - F is an up-set
  - F is closed under the operation  $\land$
- If F is also closed under the term operation +\* then we say
   F is a normal filter on H
- For any  $x \in H$ , the normal filter generated by x is given by

$$N(x) = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} \uparrow x^{m(+*)}$$

- For a set  $F \subseteq H$  we say F is a filter if
  - F is an up-set
  - F is closed under the operation  $\land$
- If F is also closed under the term operation +\* then we say
   F is a normal filter on H
- For any  $x \in H$ , the normal filter generated by x is given by

$$N(x) = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} \uparrow x^{m(+*)}$$



- For a set  $F \subseteq H$  we say F is a filter if
  - F is an up-set
  - F is closed under the operation  $\wedge$
- If F is also closed under the term operation +\* then we say
   F is a normal filter on H
- For any  $x \in H$ , the normal filter generated by x is given by

$$N(x) = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} \uparrow x^{m(+*)}$$

- For a set  $F \subseteq H$  we say F is a filter if
  - F is an up-set
  - F is closed under the operation  $\land$
- If F is also closed under the term operation +\* then we say
   F is a normal filter on H
- For any  $x \in H$ , the normal filter generated by x is given by

$$N(x) = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} \uparrow x^{m(+*)}$$

# Congruences are determined by normal filters

- Let NF(H) denote the lattice of normal filters of H
- For any  $F \in NF(H)$  define the congruence  $\theta(F)$  by

$$(x,y) \in \theta(F)$$
 iff  $x \wedge f = y \wedge f$  for some  $f \in F$ 

#### Theorem

The map  $\theta : NF(H) \rightarrow Con(H)$  as given above is an isomorphism.

# Congruences are determined by normal filters

- Let NF(H) denote the lattice of normal filters of H
- For any  $F \in NF(H)$  define the congruence  $\theta(F)$  by

$$(x,y) \in \theta(F)$$
 iff  $x \wedge f = y \wedge f$  for some  $f \in F$ 

#### Theorem

The map  $\theta : NF(H) \rightarrow Con(H)$  as given above is an isomorphism.

# Congruences are determined by normal filters

- Let NF(H) denote the lattice of normal filters of H
- For any  $F \in NF(H)$  define the congruence  $\theta(F)$  by

$$(x,y) \in \theta(F)$$
 iff  $x \wedge f = y \wedge f$  for some  $f \in F$ 

#### Theorem

The map  $\theta : NF(H) \rightarrow Con(H)$  as given above is an isomorphism.

# Simple implies finite range of +\*

#### Lemma

Let H be a double-Heyting algebra. If H is simple, then for every  $x \in H$  with  $x \neq 1$  there exists some  $n_x < \omega$  where  $x^{n_x(+*)} = 0$ .

#### Proof.

If H is simple there can only be two normal filters on H. In particular, for any  $x \in H$  with  $x \neq 1$ , we have

$$N(x) = H$$

$$\iff 0 \in N(x)$$

$$\iff (\exists n_x < \omega) \ 0 \in x^{n_x(+*)}$$

as 
$$N(x) = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} \uparrow x^{m(+*)}$$



### The class $\mathcal{D}_n$

• The class  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is the equational class of double-Heyting algebras satisfying the following equation H

$$x^{(n+1)(+*)} = x^{n(+*)}$$

### The class $\mathcal{D}_n$

#### Theorem

 $\mathcal{D}_n$  is a discriminator variety for every  $n < \omega$ 

### Proof sketch.

We omit the proof that if  $H \in \mathcal{D}_n$  is subdirectly irreducible, then

$$x^{n(+*)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Put  $x \leftrightarrow y := (x \to y) \land (y \to x)$ . The discriminator term is

$$[X \wedge (X \leftrightarrow Y)^{n(+*)+}] \vee [Z \wedge (X \leftrightarrow Y)^{n(+*)}]$$



- An equational class K is said to be *semisimple* if every subdirectly irreducible algebra in K is simple.
- It is well-known that every discriminator variety is semisimple. In general, the converse is not true.
- For double-Heyting algebras, it is true

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal V$  be an equational class of double-Heyting algebras. Then the following are equivalent.

- V is a discriminator variety
- 2 V is semisimple
- ③  $V \subseteq D_n$  for some  $n < \omega$



- An equational class  $\mathcal K$  is said to be *semisimple* if every subdirectly irreducible algebra in  $\mathcal K$  is simple.
- It is well-known that every discriminator variety is semisimple. In general, the converse is not true.
- For double-Heyting algebras, it is true

#### Theorem

Let V be an equational class of double-Heyting algebras. Then the following are equivalent.

- V is a discriminator variety
- 2 V is semisimple
- ③  $V \subseteq D_n$  for some  $n < \omega$



- An equational class  $\mathcal K$  is said to be *semisimple* if every subdirectly irreducible algebra in  $\mathcal K$  is simple.
- It is well-known that every discriminator variety is semisimple. In general, the converse is not true.
- For double-Heyting algebras, it is true

#### Theorem

Let V be an equational class of double-Heyting algebras. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1 V is a discriminator variety
- 2 V is semisimple
- ③  $V \subseteq D_n$  for some  $n < \omega$



- An equational class K is said to be *semisimple* if every subdirectly irreducible algebra in K is simple.
- It is well-known that every discriminator variety is semisimple. In general, the converse is not true.
- For double-Heyting algebras, it is true

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal V$  be an equational class of double-Heyting algebras. Then the following are equivalent.

- V is a discriminator variety
- V is semisimple
- **3**  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$  for some  $n < \omega$